

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,854		11/24/2003	Shozo Koyama	AMN-005-004	3661
20374	7590	01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
KUBOVCI SUITE 710	K & KU	BOVCIK	WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A		
	900 17TH STREET NW				PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1621	
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/718,854	KOYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	November 2003.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 47-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 47 and 54 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 48-53 and 55-68 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=,,	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureats * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Application or the second of the second or the se	cation No. <u>08/813,842</u> . eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	A) The total down Survey	opp. (DTO 412)	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/04.	_	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 47 and 54 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,346,551. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because instant claim 47 would merely be considered the genus, or a genus, that claim 1 of the U.S. Patent is derived from. Instant claim 47 is drawn to the function of *molecules*, while claim 1 of the U.S. Patent is drawn to the function of *a substance*. This is not a patentable distinction as substances are made up of molecules.

With regard to instant claim 54, the claim limits the independent claim to the method being thrombolysis. This is not a patentable distinction from claim 9 of the U.S. Patent, which limits the independent claim therein to the method being for inhibition of

Application/Control Number: 10/718,854

Art Unit: 1621

coagulation and/or fibrinolysis, since both the instant claim, and the clam in the U.S. Patent, essentially deal with the removal or dissolution of blood clots.

A 23-way restriction was made in the parent application, 08/813,842 (US 6,346,551), that includes the subject matter of instant claim 47 in all of the groupings; however, the examiner, at the time of said restriction, did not state that the subject matter of instant claim 47 (claim 48 in the parent application) was patentably distinct in each of the groupings. Accordingly, the examiner finds it proper to have made the above obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claims 48-53 and 55-68 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,854

Art Unit: 1621

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sukarl A. Witherspoon 1/6/05 Sikarl A. Witherspoon

Patent Examiner

**Technology Center 1600**